

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/926,304	10/10/2001	Kenji Sato	011221	6431
23850 7	50 7590 06/24/2005		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW			MAI, THIEN T	
SUITE 1000	el, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		2876	
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/926,304	SATO, KENJI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thien T. Mai	2876			
The Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this communication apply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut ceived by the Office later than three months after the mailin nt term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be only within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS free, cause the application to become ABANDO	days will be considered timely. Tom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Res∣	consive to communication(s) filed on 10 C	October 2001.				
2a) This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
close	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition o	f Claims					
4)⊠ Claiı	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
	.,	cicolon requirement.				
Application P	apers					
•	specification is objected to by the Examin	_				
•	The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2001 is/are: a) ⊠ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
• • •	cant may not request that any objection to the	•				
•	acement drawing sheet(s) including the correct path or declaration is objected to by the E	•				
TT/LL THE	attrol declaration is objected to by the E	.xammer. Note the attached on	ide Adion of form 1 10-102.			
Priority under	· 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)⊠ Al 1.⊠ 2.⊟ 3.⊟	Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the property documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	eferences Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of D	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date			
	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)/Mail Date <u>2/2004</u> .	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the number of words in the abstract exceeds 150. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The Information Disclosure Statement filed February 2002 has been considered. The claims presented by applicant are taken into consideration by the examiner.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7-9, drawn to a system, classified in class 235, subclass 380.
 - II. Claims 3, 11, 14, drawn to a specific portable-type device for personal data recording, classified in class 235, subclass 486.
 - III. Claims 4 and 12, drawn to a specific information processing terminal, classified in class235, subclass 472.01.
 - IV. Claims 5, 13, and 15, drawn to a specific computer program, classified in class 235, subclass 375.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II, III, and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed in Group I does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the system does not require a specific portable-type personal data recording device recited in Group II. The subcombination

Art Unit: 2876

has separate utility such as it can be combined with systems that do not consist of an information processing terminal. Likewise, the system of Group I does not require a specific information processing terminal as recited in subcombination Group III. The subcombination has separate utility such as it can be combined with other systems that do not consist of a portable-type personal data recording device. Furthermore, the system of Group I does not require a specific computer program as recited in subcombination Group IV. The subcombination has separate utility such as it can be embedded with other systems that do not consist of a portable-type personal data recording device.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II, III, and IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thien T. Mai whose telephone number is 571-272-8283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thien T Mai Examiner Art Unit 2876

June 16, 2005

THIEN M. LE PRIMARY EXAMINER